

Please Return by September 10, 2012

2012 Kansas Candidate Questionnaire

Candidate's Name:		District:
Office S	ought:	Incumbent / Challenger / Open Seat (circle one)
Email: _		
Phone: (Fax: ()
1.		ort continued prosecution of the crime "promotion of obscenity", "promoting obscenity is outlined in Kansas State Statute 21-6401? No, but I would support if:
	"obscenity," However, the	: The Supreme Court of the United States upheld in the 1973 Miller v. California decision that like perjury, libel or slander, does not enjoy the 1 st Amendment protection of free speech. Supreme Court did not define "obscene" but rather allowed each community to explore their y a "community standard" trial.
2.	"time and m	support a statewide sexually oriented business (SOB) statute that enacts constitutional tanner" restrictions on Sexually Oriented Businesses (SOBs) as defined in KS Statute 12-distance between dancers and patrons, lighting, elimination of private booths, total nude ban to six am closing of operations. (see included talking points for more detail) No, but I would support if:
	Background become soft t financial and	: Many communities in Kansas, especially rural and those bordered by highways, have targets for sexually oriented businesses. For many communities, this has become an unequal legal contest between communities and the lucrative sex industry. This bill has been named nity Defense Act" and has twice (2010 & 2011) enjoyed a super majority passage in the House
3.	that mirror	support legislation requiring Internet filters on the Kansas public libraries and schools the Federal Child Internet Protection Act (CIPA) intended on protecting minors from armful materials?
	□ No.	□ No, but I would support if:
4.		support a legislative measure that would require Kansas Law Enforcement to report pornographic materials and media during the investigation of sexual crimes?
	□ No.	□ No, but I would support if:

Background: The U.S. Department of Justice recently released a report to law enforcement reinforcing the intent around the Domestic Minor Sex Trafficking (DMST) Federal Trafficking Victims Protection Act of 2000 Public Law 106-386. The report linked pornography with the victimization of children, sexual trafficking and the incidents of other sexual crimes such as rape and sodomy. Pornography was showcased as being a catalyst, feeding fantasy-driven criminal behavior. Quantifying pornography's deleterious effects is critical in applying remedies to reduce those effects.